Introduction to Mathematical Finance Exercise sheet 9

Exercise 9.1 Recall that an investment and consumption pair (ψ, \tilde{c}) with initial endowment \tilde{v}_0 is self-financing if $\psi_1 \cdot S_0 + \tilde{c}_0 = \tilde{v}_0$ and

$$\Delta \psi_{k+1} \cdot S_k + \tilde{c}_k = 0$$

for k = 1, ..., T - 1. Define the undiscounted wealth by $\tilde{W}_0 = \tilde{v}_0$ and $\tilde{W}_k := \psi_k \cdot S_k$ for k = 1, ..., T, $W = \tilde{W}/S^0$ and $c = \tilde{c}/S^0$.

(a) Show in detail that (ψ, \tilde{c}) is self-financing if and only if

$$W_k = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (\vartheta_j \cdot \triangle X_j - c_{j-1}) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, T.$$

(b) Show that the pair (ψ, \tilde{c}) with initial wealth \tilde{v}_0 is self-financing if and only if

$$\tilde{W}_k = \tilde{v}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\vartheta_j \cdot \triangle S_j - \tilde{c}_{j-1} \right) \quad \text{for } k = 0, ..., T.$$

Solution 9.1

(a) First discount the self-financing condition to get a condition in X, namely

$$\Delta \psi_{k+1}^0 + \Delta \vartheta_{k+1} \cdot X_k + c_k = 0,$$

$$\psi_1^0 + \vartheta_1 \cdot X_0 + c_0 = v_0.$$

Using this,

$$\Delta W_k = \frac{\tilde{W}_k}{S_k^0} - \frac{\tilde{W}_{k-1}}{S_{k-1}^0} = \psi_k \cdot (1, X_k) - \psi_{k-1} \cdot (1, X_{k-1})$$
$$= \psi_k^0 - \psi_{k-1}^0 + \vartheta_k \cdot X_k - \vartheta_{k-1} \cdot X_{k-1} - \bigtriangleup \psi_k^0 - \bigtriangleup \vartheta_k \cdot X_{k-1} - c_{k-1}$$
$$= \vartheta_k \cdot \bigtriangleup X_k - c_{k-1}$$

for $k \geq 2$. Furthermore,

$$\Delta W_1 = \frac{\tilde{W}_1}{S_1^0} - v_0 = \psi_1^0 + \vartheta_1 \cdot X_1 - \psi_1^0 - \vartheta_1 \cdot X_0 - c_0 = \vartheta_1 \cdot X_1 - c_0.$$

Summing both results yields

$$W_k = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (\vartheta_j \cdot \triangle X_j - c_{j-1}), \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, T.$$

1 / 4

(b) We have

$$\Delta \tilde{W}_1 = \psi_1 \cdot S_1 - \tilde{v}_0 = \psi_1 \cdot S_1 - \psi_1 \cdot S_0 - \tilde{c}_0 = \psi_1 \cdot \Delta S_1 - \tilde{c}_0$$

as well as

$$\Delta \tilde{W}_k = \psi_k \cdot S_k - \psi_{k-1} \cdot S_{k-1} = \psi_k \cdot \Delta S_k + \Delta \psi_k \cdot S_{k-1} = \psi_k \cdot \Delta S_k - \tilde{c}_{k-1}$$

for all k = 2, ..., T if and only if (ψ, \tilde{c}) is self-financing. So we can sum up the increments to obtain

$$\tilde{W}_k = \tilde{W}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta \tilde{W}_k = \tilde{v}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (\psi_k \cdot \Delta S_k - \tilde{c}_{k-1}).$$

Note that starting with these sums also gives the first two series of equalities, showing the equivalence.

$$R_k(v_k,\vartheta',c') := E\left[\sum_{j=k}^T U_c(c'_j) + U_w\left(v_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^T (\vartheta'_j \cdot \bigtriangleup X_j - c'_{j-1}) - c'_T\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right].$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta, c) := \{ (\vartheta', c') \in \mathcal{A} : \vartheta'_j = \vartheta_j \text{ for } j \le k, c'_j = c_j \text{ for } j \le k-1 \}.$$

Show that for fixed $(\vartheta, c) \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta,c)} R_k(W_k^{v_0,\vartheta,c},\vartheta',c') = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}} R_k(W_k^{v_0,\vartheta,c},\vartheta',c').$$

Solution 9.2 Define

$$\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta, c) := \{ (\vartheta', c') \in \mathcal{A} : \vartheta'_j = \vartheta_j \text{ for } j > k, c'_j = c_j \text{ for } j > k-1 \}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c')$. For $(\vartheta',c') \neq (\vartheta'',c'')$, the sets $\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c')$ and $\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta'',c'')$ are either the same or disjoint. So for each distinct $\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c')$, there exists $(\vartheta_0,c_0) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta,c)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c') = \mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta_0,c_0)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{(\vartheta',c') \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c') = \bigcup_{(\vartheta',c') \in \mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta,c)} \mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta',c').$$

Since $R_k(v_k, \vartheta', c')$ has the same value on each $\mathcal{A}_k^{\text{post}}(\vartheta', c')$, we have

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}} R_k(v_k,\vartheta',c') = \operatorname{ess\,sup}\left\{R_k(v_k,\vartheta'',c''):(\vartheta'',c'')\in\bigcup_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta,c)}\mathcal{A}_k^{\operatorname{post}}(\vartheta',c')\right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta,c)} R_k(v_k,\vartheta',c').$$

This also holds for $v_k = W_k^{v_0,\vartheta,c}$.

Exercise 9.3

(a) Let (ϑ, c) be a self-financing investment and consumption pair and

$$W_k = W_k^{v_0,\vartheta,c} = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (\vartheta_j \cdot \bigtriangleup X_j - c_{j-1})$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., T the corresponding discounted wealth process. Show that if $W \ge -a$ for some constant a, then W is a Q-supermartingale for any ELMM Q for X.

(b) Let $U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be concave and consider for fixed $Q \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{loc}}$ the problem of maximising $E_Q[U(W_T^{v_0,\vartheta,c} - c_T)]$ over all self-financing investment and consumption pairs. Assuming that each $U(W_{\cdot}^{v_0,\vartheta,c})$ is Q-integrable and that $j_0 := \sup_{(\vartheta,c)} E_Q[U(W_T^{v_0,\vartheta,c} - c_T)] < \infty$, show that the solution is given by $\vartheta \equiv 0$, $c \equiv 0$.

Solution 9.3

- (a) This is just Lemma II.6.2. Indeed, without loss of generality assume $a \ge 0$. Write $C_k := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j$. First note that $W_k + C_k = v_0 + (\vartheta \cdot X)_k$. By Proposition C.4, W + C is a Q-local martingale. Since $W \ge -a$ and $C \ge 0$, we have that $W + C \ge -a$ is thus a Q-supermartingale and Q-integrable. So $C = W + C - W \le W + C + a$ is Q-integrable. Then W = W + C - C is Q-integrable and then a Q-supermartingale like W + C since C is increasing.
- (b) First we observe as in the lecture that

$$J_T(0,0) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{c'_T} U(v_0 - c'_T)$$

and $E_Q[J_T(0,0)] \geq \sup_{c'_T} E_Q[U(v_0 - c'_T)] \geq E_Q[U(v_0)]$. On the other hand, using that $W^{v_0,\vartheta,c}$ is *Q*-supermartingale and *U* is concave with $U(W^{v_0,\vartheta,c}) \in L^1(Q)$ yields that $U(W^{v_0,\vartheta,c}_{\cdot} - c_T)$ is a *Q*-supermartingale for any $(\vartheta, c) \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $U(v_0) \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\vartheta',c')\in\mathcal{A}} E_Q[U(W^{v_0,\vartheta',c'}_T - c'_T)|\mathcal{F}_0]$ and

$$E_Q[U(v_0)] \ge E_Q[J_0(0,0)] \ge E_Q[J_T(0,0)] \ge E_Q[U(v_0)].$$

This implies that J(0,0) is a supermartingale with constant expectation j_0 , thus a martingale. Therefore, by the Martingale Optimality Principle: $\vartheta \equiv 0$, $c \equiv 0$ is optimal.